First Synthesis of 4′**-Selenonucleosides Showing Unusual Southern Conformation**

Lak Shin Jeong,*,† Dilip K. Tosh,† Hea Ok Kim,† Ting Wang,† Xiyan Hou,† Ho Seop Yun,‡ Youngjoo Kwon,† Sang Kook Lee,† Jungwon Choi,§ and Long Xuan Zhao†

*Laboratory of Medicinal Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, Korea, Di*V*ision of Energy Systems Research and Department of Chemistry, Ajou Uni*V*ersity, Suwon 443-749, Korea, and Department of Chemistry, The Uni*V*ersity of Suwon, Kyunggi 445-743, Korea*

lakjeong@ewha.ac.kr

Received October 20, 2007

Vol. 10, No. 2 ²⁰⁹-**²¹²**

ABSTRACT

The first synthesis of 4′**-selenonucleosides was achieved using a Pummerer-type condensation as a key step. All stereoelectronic effects shown in 4**′**-oxonucleosides were overwhelmed by the size of selenium and steric interactions, driving the conformation to the C2**′**-endo/ C3**′**-exo twist (Southern) conformation.**

The nucleoside structure has proven to be an effective template for the development of therapeutically useful agents with antiviral and antitumor activity. It can also serve as a precursor to oligonucleotides, which may be useful in antisense and gene therapy and as biochemical probes.¹ For example, the modification of uridine to 2′-deoxy-2′-fluoro*â*-L-arabinofuranosyl-5-methyluracil (L-FMAU)2 provides a compound with potent anti-hepatitis B virus (HBV) activity that can also serve as a building block of antisense oligonucleotides or small interfering RNAs.

The 4′-oxonucleoside, a first-generation nucleoside (Figure 1), has played a key role in the development of clinical or biochemical probes. However, the emergence of adverse

Figure 1. Rationale for the design of the target nucleosides.

effects such as resistance and toxicity, and chemical and enzymatic degradation, has stimulated the search for new templates and has led to the discovery of 4'-thionucleosides³ and 4'-carbonucleosides,⁴ which are second-generation nucleosides. The 4′-thionucleoside and the 4′-oxonucleoside are bioisosterically related and are known to adopt the same conformation.5

[†] Ewha Womans University.

[‡] Ajou University.

[§] The University of Suwon.

^{(1) (}a) De Clercq, E. *J. Clin. Virol*. **²⁰⁰¹**, *²²*, 73-89. (b) Obak, T.; Lech-Maranda, E.; Korycka, A.; Robak, E. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁹**, *⁶*, 599- 614. (c) Uhlmann, E.; Peyman, A. *Chem. Re*V*.* **¹⁹⁹⁰**, *⁹⁰*, 543-584.

⁽²⁾ Ma, T.; Lin, J. S.; Newton, M. G.; Cheng, Y.-C.; Chu, C. K. *J. Med. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁷**, *⁴⁰*, 2750-2754.

⁽³⁾ Gunaga, P.; Moon, H. R.; Choi, W. J.; Shin, D. H.; Park, J. G.; Jeong, L. S. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **²⁰⁰⁴**, *¹¹*, 2585-2637.

Notwithstanding the potent biological activity and metabolic stability of 4′-thionucleosides, only a few compounds have entered clinical development because the compounds are generally highly cytotoxic. The 4′-carbonucleoside is also bioisosterically related to the 4′-oxonucleoside and exhibits excellent chemical and metabolic stability about the glycosidic bond. However, it has been shown to have a conformation that is very different from that of the 4′-oxonucleoside, which results in the loss of biological activity.⁶ Thus, as a part of our continuing efforts to search for a novel template for the development of new therapeutic or biochemical probes, we turned our attention to 4′-selenonucleosides, thirdgeneration nucleosides which are also bioisosterically related to the 4′-oxo- or 4′-thionucleosides. Although selenonucleosides⁷ have been reported as precursors to $2^{\prime},3^{\prime}$ - and $4^{\prime},5^{\prime}$ unsaturated nucleosides or oligonucleotides containing 2′ selenonucleosides,⁸ no examples of 4'-selenonucleosides have so far been reported in the literature, due to the difficulties of their synthesis.

Uridine assumes the C2′-exo/C3′-endo twist (Northern) conformation.9 Among the stereoelectronic effects driving the conformation of uridine are the $[O4'–Cl'–C2'–O2']$ and [O4′-C4′-C3′-O3′] gauche interactions which cancel one another.¹⁰ The other gauche effect, involving $[O2'–C2'–$ C1′-N1], tends to drive the *^N*/*^S* equilibrium to the *^S* conformation, but this effect is weaker with π -deficient pyrimidines; the dominant driving force leading to the *N* conformation in uridine is the anomeric effect, which is much stronger in pyrimidines than in purines. The same forces described for uridine, pertain with 4′-thiouridine, but are weaker in this case. The result is the same; the *N* conformation is still preferred. However, in 4′-selenouridine, all stereoelectronic effects are expected to be overwhelmed by the size of selenium and steric interactions driving the conformation to the C2′-*endo*/C3′-*exo* twist (Southern) conformation. This unusual conformation of 4′-selenouridine could play important roles in developing new therapeutic agents or biochemical probes for studying antisense oligonucleotide or small interfering RNA (siRNA) interactions with potential therapeutic targets. This unusual conformation could also prove very useful for studying the substrate properties of 4′-selenonucleoside-5′-triphosphate (4′-seleno-NTP) and 2′-deoxy-4′-selenonucleoside-5′-triphosphate (2′- deoxy-4′-seleno-NTP) toward RNA and DNA polymerases, respectively. Thus, in addition to the first synthesis of 4′ selenonucleosides, it is also of great interest to compare the conformation of a 4′-selenonucleoside with that of the 4′ oxonucleoside. We wish to report here the first synthesis and the unusual conformation of 4′-selenonucleosides. Our strategy for the synthesis of 4′-selenonucleosides was to condense the 4-selenoxide with uracil or cytosine, using a Pummerer-type condensation. The 4-selenoxide was easily synthesized from D-gulonic-*γ*-lactone.

Based on this synthetic strategy, we prepared the 4-selenosugar **8** from D-gulonic-*γ*-lactone, as shown in Scheme 1.

2,3;5,6-di-*O*-Isopropylidene-D-gulonic-γ-lactone (1),¹¹ prepared from D-gulonic-*γ*-lactone, was converted to the Llyxose derivative **4**, ¹² using modifications of the reported procedures.¹² Treatment of 1 with DIBAL-H at -78 °C afforded the lactol **2** in 82% yield. Selective hydrolysis of **2** with 80% aqueous acetic acid gave **3** in 81% yield. Oxidative cleavage of diol **3** with sodium metaperiodate followed by reduction of the resulting aldehyde with sodium borohydride afforded the L-lyxose derivative **4** in 67% yield. Selective protection of the primary hydroxyl group of **4** with TBDPSCl gave the silyl ether **5**, which upon treatment with sodium borohydride provided the diol **6** in excellent yield. Mesylation of diol **6** with mesyl chloride in the presence of triethyl amine afforded the dimesylate **7**. Treatment of **7** with selenium in the presence of sodium borohydride in EtOH-THF at 60 °C furnished the 4-seleno sugar **8** in 96% yield.13 The presence of two doublets of doublets, at *δ* 2.96 and 3.14 ppm, and disappearance of two methyl peaks of dimesylates, at δ 3.00 and 3.07 ppm, in the 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 8 in CDCl₃ clearly indicated that cyclization

^{(4) (}a) Piperno, A.; Chiacchio, M. A.; Iannazzo, D.; Romeo, R. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **²⁰⁰⁶**, *¹³*, 3675-3695. (b) Ferrero, M.; Gotor, V. *Chem. Re*V*.* 2000, 100, 4319-4348. (c) Lee, J. A.; Jeong, L. S. Antiviral Chem. *Chemother.* **²⁰⁰⁴**, *¹⁵*, 235-250. (d) Marquez, V. E.; Lim, M.-I. *Med. Res. Re*V*.* **¹⁹⁸⁶**, *⁶*, 1-40. (5) (a) Jeong, L. S.; Nicklaus, M. C.; George, C.; Marquez, V. E.

Tetrahedron Lett. **¹⁹⁹⁴**, *³⁵*, 7569-7572. (b) Jeong, L. S.; Nicklaus, M. C.; George, C.; Marquez, V. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **¹⁹⁹⁴**, *³⁵*, 7573-7576. (c) Haeberli, P.; Berger, I.; Pallan, P. S.; Egli, M. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2005**, *³³*, 3965-3975.

^{(6) (}a) Marquez, V. E.; Hughes, S. H.; Sei, S.; Agbaria, R. *Antiviral Res.* **²⁰⁰⁶**, *⁷¹*, 268-275. (b) Kalman, A.; Koritzanszky, T.; Beres, J.; Sagi, G. *Nucleosides Nucelotides* **¹⁹⁹⁰**, *⁹*, 235-243.

⁽⁷⁾ Wnuk, S. F. *Tetrahedron* **¹⁹⁹³**, *⁴⁹*, 9877-9936.

⁽⁸⁾ Jiang, J.; Sheng, J.; Carrasco, N.; Huang, Z. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2007**, *³⁵*, 477-485.

⁽⁹⁾ Green, E. A.; Rosenstein, R. D.; Abraham, D. J.; Trus, B. L.; Marsh, R. E. *Acta Crystallogr.* **¹⁹⁷⁵**, *B31*, 102-107.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Thibaudeau, C.; Chattopadhyaya, J. *Stereoelectronic effects in nucleosides and nucleotides and their structural implications*; Uppsala University Press: Uppsala, 1999.

⁽¹¹⁾ Jeong, L. S.; Lee, H. W.; Jacobson, K. A.; Kim, H. O.; Shin, D. H.; Lee, J. A.; Gao, Z.-G.; Lu, C.; Duong, H. T.; Gunaga, P.; Lee, S. K.; Jin, D. Z.; Chun, M. W.; Moon, H. R. *J. Med. Chem.* **²⁰⁰⁶**, *⁴⁹*, 273-281. (12) (a) Varela, O.; Zunszain, P. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹³**, *⁵⁸*, 7860-

^{7864. (}b) Xie, M.; Berges, D. A.; Robins, M. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, ⁵¹⁷⁸-5179.

⁽¹³⁾ Liu, H.; Pinto, B. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **²⁰⁰⁵**, *⁷⁰*, 753-755.

had occurred, and this was further confirmed by spectral and analytical data.

With the 4-selenosugar **8** in hand, the next goal was to synthesize the 4′-selenouridine **13** and 4′-selenocytidine **14** from **8** (Scheme 2). Thus, oxidation of **8** with *m*-CPBA gave

the unstable selenoxide **9** as a diastereomeric mixture, which was immediately subjected to a Pummerer rearrangement¹⁴ in the presence of Ac_2O at 100 °C to give the acetate 10. However, the conventional condensation method which reacted **10** with silylated uracil in the presence of TMSOTf failed to give the desired product **11**, and it was therefore decided to attempt a direct Pummerer-type base condensation15 with the selenoxide **9**. This condensation method afforded the desired uracil derivative **11** (53%) and the corresponding cytosine derivative **12** (35%) without formation of their corresponding α -isomers. It is hypothesized that as in the case of $4'$ -thionucleosides,¹⁵ the condensation reaction of selenoxide **9** with uracil proceeded via the α -selenocarbocation intermediate formed by an E2 anti elimination under the reaction conditions, in which the sole formation of the β -isomer is attributable to a steric effect¹¹ from 2′,3′-*O*-isopropylidene group. The presence of two doublets at δ 6.35 (H-5) and 7.52 (H-6) ppm and a doublet of doublets at δ 5.56 (1'-H) ppm in the 400 MHz ¹H NMR of 11 in CDCl₃ clearly indicated that condensation had occurred as expected. The anomeric assignment of **11** could not be confirmed by ¹H NMR NOE but was established by X-ray crystallography (vide infra). Removal of the protecting groups from **11** with aqueous 50% trifluoroacetic acid furnished the desired final nucleoside **13**, whose structure was confirmed by X-ray crystallography.¹⁶

Compound **12** was similarly converted to the cytosine derivative **14**. X-ray crystallographic analysis showed that 4′-selenouridine **13** assumes an unusual C2′-*endo*/C3′-*exo* twist (Southern) conformation unlike uridine⁹ which takes the C2′-*exo*/C3′-*endo* twist (Northern) conformation (Figure 2), indicating that in 4′-selenonucleoside, the *anti* orientation

Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of **13**.

was more favorable than the *gauche* orientation, presumably due to the steric effects of selenium. This unusual conformation may confer resistance to RNA cleaving enzymes such as RNAse H and nuclease. Furthermore, the O5′-hydroxyl group in **13** is also in the unusual *ap* conformation, distinct from uridine in which this hydroxyl group adopts the $+sc$ orientation. The unusual *ap* orientation of the 5′-hydroxyl group of 4′-selenonucleosides may affect phosphorylation by the cellular kinases, but it is important to note that the conformation of compounds in the crystal and the solution forms can be very different.

Since each *N* or *S* conformation identified in the solid structures of the 4′-oxonucleoside coexist in a dynamic *N*/*S* equilibrium in solution, 17 we have also determined the conformation of 4′-selenouridine in the solution state and compared it with that of uridine. All protons in 4′-selenouridine except for 4′-H were shifted downfield from those in uridine (Tables 1 and 2 in the Supporting Information). The ${}^{3}J_{1'-H,2'-H}$ value of 4'-selenouridine was 8.70 Hz, while the same coupling in uridine was 4.62 Hz, indicating a different ribose ring puckering. This coupling is generally small for the C3′-*endo* ribose ring puckering found in A-form RNA and larger for the *C*2′-*endo* ribose ring puckering commonly found in B-form DNA.18 In addition to the comparison of coupling constants, NOE experiments were also performed in order to confirm the conformation of 4′-

⁽¹⁴⁾ Veerapen, N.; Taylor, S. A.; Walsby, C. J.; Pinto, B. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **²⁰⁰⁶**, *¹²⁸*, 227-239.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Naka, T.; Minakawa, N.; Abe, H.; Kaga, D.; Matsuda, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **²⁰⁰⁰**, *¹²²*, 7233-7243.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Crystal structure data for C₁₀H₁₂N₂O₅Se: $M_r = 319.18$, orthorhom-
bic, space group $P2_12_12_1$ (no. 19), $a = 4.9520(1)$ Å, $b = 13.4320(3)$ Å, c bic, space group $P2_12_12_1$ (no. 19), $a = 4.9520(1)$ Å, $b = 13.4320(3)$ Å, $c = 16.9083(5)$ Å, $V = 1124.65(5)$ Å³, $T = 293(1)$ K, $Z = 4$, $\rho_{calc} = 1.885$
 g_{cm}^{-3} $F(000) = 640$ crystal dimension $0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.3$ mm³ gcm⁻³, $F(000) = 640$, crystal dimension $0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.3$ mm³, μ (Mo K α) 3.355mm⁻¹, Mo Kα radiation($λ = 0.7107$ Å). Of 11026 reflections collected in the 2*θ* range 3.0∠55.0° using an *ω* scans on a Rigaku Rapid R-axis diffractometer, 2565 were unique reflections ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.052$). The structure was solved and refined against *F*² using SHELXS97 and SHELXL97, 202 variables, $wR2 = 0.064$, $R1 = 0.027$ (the 2434 reflections having $F_0^2 > 2\sigma(F_0^2)$), GOF = 1.041, and max/min residual electron density
0.59/-0.49 eÅ⁻³. Flack x parameter = 0.009(9). Further details of the crystal having $F_0^2 > 2\sigma(F_0^2)$), GOF = 1.041, and max/min residual electron density 0.59/-0.49 eÅ⁻³. Flack *x* parameter = 0.009(9). Further details of the crystal-
structure investigation(s) may be obtained from the Cambrid structure investigation(s) may be obtained from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ (UK); Tel: (+44)1223-336-408, Fax: (+44)1223-336-033, e-mail: deposit@ccdc. cam.ac.uk) on quoting the depository no. CSD-652601.

^{(17) (}a) Dijkstra, S.; Benevides, J. M.; Thomas, G. J., Jr. *J. Mol. Struct.* **¹⁹⁹¹**, *²⁴²*, 283-301. (b) Jagannadh, B.; Reddy, D. V.; Kunwar, A. C. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **¹⁹⁹¹**, *¹⁷⁹*, 386-391.

selenouridine. A strong NOE between H-6 and the 2'-H was detected in 4′-selenouridine, while no NOE effect was observed in case of uridine, indicating that 4′-selenouridine exists in the 3′-*exo* (*S*) conformation and uridine exists in the 3′-*endo* (*N*) conformation. The base can adopt two relative orientations, *anti* and *syn*, with respect to the sugar moiety, and these can be described by glycosidic torsion angle χ (O4'-C1'-N1-C2 in uridine, Se4'-C1'-N1-C2 in 4′-selenouridine). In the *anti* conformation, the sixmembered uracil ring is pointing away from the sugar, thus providing a shorter distance between H-6 and the 2′-H in the 2′-*endo* or between H-6 and the 3′-H in the 3′-*endo* sugar ring than that between H-6 and the $1'$ -H 19 These data are all consistent with the observation that replacement of the ribose ring oxygen by selenium causes a conformational change from a C3′-*endo* to a *C*2′-*endo* puckered ribose ring in the solution state, while the same type of uracil link exists with the ribose sugar ring since the *anti*-glycosidic torsion angle is unchanged.

In summary, using a Pummerer-type base condensation of selenoxide **9** as a key step, we have accomplished the first synthesis of 4′-selenonucleosides. These third-generation 4′-selenonucleosides exhibit an unusual *C*2′-*endo*/C3′-*exo* twist (Southern) conformation. It was revealed that all electronic effects found in uridine were overwhelmed by the steric effects from the bulky selenium, which force 4′ selenonucleosides to adopt the unusual Sourthern conformation. This unnatural conformation may provide valuable information for the study of the conformational preferences of metabolic enzymes such as kinases and nucleases. We are sure that the results reported here will open up a new era in nucleoside, nucleotide, and nucleic acids chemistry.

Acknowledgment. This paper is dedicated to Prof. Chung K. Chu (University of Georgia) and Dr. Victor E. Marquez (NCI, NIH) on the occasion of their 65th birthdays. This work was supported by the National Core Research Center (NCRC) program (No. R15-2006-020) of the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST) and the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF).

Supporting Information Available: Complete experimental procedure for all compounds described herein and ¹H and ¹³C NMR copies of **13** and **14**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org. OL7025558

⁽¹⁸⁾ Wuthrich, K. *NMR of Proteins and Nucleic Acids;* John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1986; pp 203-223.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Saenger, W. *Principles of Nucleic Acid Structure*; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1985; pp 242-320 for nucleic acid structures, pp $21-$ 23 for sugar pucker.